

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P. D. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/080,773	02/22/2002	Stephen M. Birken	65641-0017	1161
759	90 02/20/2004		EXAM	INER
Joseph V. Coppola			BOS, STEVEN J	
	& Grauer PLLC			<u></u>
Suite 140			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
39533 Woodward Avenue			1754	
Bloomfield Hill:	s, MI 48304			
			DATE MAILED: 02/20/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		$e^{V}$
	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/080,773	BIRKEN, STEPHEN M.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Steven Bos	1754
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	opears on the cover sheet v	vith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a report in NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory periodally reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stature Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	.136(a). In no event, however, may a ply within the statutory minimum of th d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC te, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed irty (30) days will be considered timely. DNTHS from the mailing date of this communication. ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on		
	is action is non-final.	,
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa		tters, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	·	•
Disposition of Claims		
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application	n.	
4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-23 is/are withdra		
5) Claim(s) 9-15 is/are allowed.		
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,3,4 and 7</u> is/are rejected.		
7)⊠ Claim(s) <u>2,5,6 and 8</u> is/are objected to.	•	
8) Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>22 February 2002</u> is/a		objected to by the Examiner.
· Applicant may not request that any objection to the		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct		, ,
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	·	•
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
<ul><li>12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreig</li><li>a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:</li></ul>	n priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).
1. Certified copies of the priority documen	its have been received.	
2. Certified copies of the priority documen	nts have been received in A	Application No
3. Copies of the certified copies of the price		• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
application from the International Burea	-	Ŭ
* See the attached detailed Office action for a lis	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	t received.
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview	Summary (PTO-413)
2) Notice of Treferences Cited (PTO-932)  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)		(s)/Mail Date
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 2-22-02 & 7-28-03.	5) Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
S. Patent and Trademark Office	Action Summary	Part of Paper No./Mail Date 02042004

Application/Control Number: 10/080,773

Art Unit: 1754

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

 Claims 1-15, drawn to a process of separation, classified in class 209, subclass 3.

II. Claims 16-23, drawn to an apparatus, classified in class 422, subclass 1+.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions I and II are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used for a different process such as an ore chlorination or a metal leaching process.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

During a telephone conversation with Joseph V. Coppola on February 4, 2004 a provisional election was made with traverse to prosecute the invention of Group I, claims 1-15. Affirmation of this election must be made by applicant in replying to this Office action. Claims 16-23 are withdrawn from further consideration by the examiner, 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a non-elected invention.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/080,773

Art Unit: 1754

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1,3,4,7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kelland '478 or Ergun '310.

Kelland and Ergun each suggest the instantly claimed process of exposing a mixture of particles to microwave energy to heat the particles and then exposing the particles to a magnetic separation process. See claims 8-15 of Kelland; and cols. 2,3 and the claims of Ergun. The taught microwave energy would appear to meet the instantly claimed microwave/millimeter wave energy since microwave energy has a wavelength measured in millimeters and because Ergun teaches 10 GHz at col. 3, line 4 which is within that instantly disclosed on pp. 8-9 of the specification.

Claims 9-15 appear allowable over the cited prior art of record none of which teaches or suggests the instantly claimed combination of process steps.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Steven Bos whose telephone number is 571-272-1350. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 8AM-6PM but is on increased flexitime sch.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Stanley Silverman can be reached on 571-272-1358. The fax phone

Art Unit: 1754

number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Steven Bos

Primary Examiner
Art Unit 1754

sib